Carlton Berkley, CD #9 (2009)

1. Filing two disclosure statements late

Candidates must file disclosure reports listing contributions and expenditures at such times as the CFB requires. *See* Admin. Code § 3-703(6), Board Rule 3-02.

The Campaign filed Statement 11 five days late, and filed Statement 16 four days late.

The Board assessed a penalty of \$40 for these violations.

2. Failing to provide bank statements

Campaigns are required to provide bank records, including periodic bank statements. *See* Admin. Code §§ 3-703(1)(d), (g), and Board Rules 4-01(a), (f).

The Campaign failed to provide bank statements for TD Bank for the period from August 1, 2009 through September 30, 2011.

The Board assessed a penalty of \$89 for this violation.

3. Failing to accurately report cash receipts \$715

Candidates must accurately report and document all Campaign receipts. *See* Admin. Code §§ 3-703(1)(d), (g), (6), Board Rule 3-03(c), 4-01(b)(1). Receipts must be deposited into the account listed on the candidate's certification. *See* Board Rule 2-06(a).

The Campaign's bank records indicated a 77.55% variance (\$3,385) between reported cash receipts and submitted documentation (\$4,365 was reported but only \$980 was documented on deposit slips). The Campaign failed to submit itemized deposit slips for six deposits.

The Board assessed a penalty of \$715 for this violation.

4. Failing to accurately report disbursements and receipts \$715

Candidates must accurately report all Campaign disbursements and receipts. *See* Admin. Code §§ 3-703(1)(d), (g), (6), (11); Board Rules 3-03(c), (d), (e).

The Campaign's bank records indicated a 53.50% variance (\$2,993.32) between reported disbursements and submitted documentation (\$2,601.74 in disbursements was reported but 5,595.06 was documented). The bank records also indicated a 45.50% (\$3,280) variance between reported receipts and submitted documentation (\$10,486.56 in receipts was reported but only \$7,206.56 was documented).

The Board assessed a penalty of \$715 for these violations.

\$40

\$89

5. Maintaining a petty cash fund greater than \$500

Candidates may not maintain petty cash funds in excess of 500. Board Rule 4-01(e)(2).

On December 10, 2008, the Campaign made a cash withdrawal of \$600, which exceeds the \$500 limit by \$100.

The Board did not assess a penalty for this violation.

6. Making cash payments greater than \$100 \$18

Candidates may not make cash expenditures in excess of \$100 per transaction or purchase. Board Rule 4-01(e)(2). Expenditures in excess of \$100 must be paid using a check from the Campaign's bank account. Board Rules 1-08(i), 4-01(e)(1).

On December 11, 2008, the Campaign made a cash withdrawal of \$480, which exceeds the \$100 limit by \$380.

The Board assessed a penalty of \$18 for this violation.

7. Failing to demonstrate spending was \$36 in furtherance of the campaign

Campaigns may only spend campaign funds for items that further the candidate's election. Expenditures for purposes other than the candidate's election are considered "noncampaign related." The Act enumerates types of expenditures that are presumed to be campaign related and non-campaign related. See Admin. Code § 3-702(21). In examining whether an expenditure is in furtherance of a campaign, CFB staff considers, among other things, the timing of the expenditure, its purpose, and its cost. Id.; see also Advisory Opinion No. 2007-3 (March 7, 2007).

The Campaign made two expenditures to Hess: \$22 on June 22, 2009, and \$47.39 on June 25, 2009. The Campaign failed to provide explanations and documentation demonstrating that these expenditures were in furtherance of the Campaign.

The Board assessed a penalty of \$36 for this violation.

8. Failing to respond to the initial request for documentation \$113

Candidates must timely furnish any information the Board requests relating to campaign expenditures and contributions, including a response to post-election audit notices. See Admin. Code §§ 3-703(1)(d), (g), 3-710(1); Board Rule 4-05(a).

No Penalty

CFB staff sent the Campaign an initial request for documentation and records on October 30, 2009, with a due date of November 30, 2009. The Campaign requested several extensions, but failed to respond.

The Board assessed a penalty of \$113 for this violation.

9. Failing to respond to the Draft Audit Report \$113

Candidates must timely furnish any information the Board requests relating to campaign expenditures and contributions, including a response to post-election audit notices. See Admin. Code \$\$ 3-703(1)(d), (g), 3-710(1); Board Rule 4-05(a).

CFB staff sent the Campaign the Draft Audit Report on September 27, 2011, with a due date of October 27, 2011. The Campaign did not respond.

The Board assessed a penalty of \$113 for this violation.